Class and Section: MIS4173 Date: 02/20/2022

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 1**

Team 9:

Pam Adams, Jack Baird, Torico Griffin, Jack Sandhofer

SR&R 1 Documentation Grade: **92** SR&R 1 Presentation Grade: **97**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 1 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all did a great job on your first sprint. I know you will continue to improve. Take my feedback and change what needs to be changed. If you need anything, let me know.  Dr. Kisling (02/20/2022) |

#### SR&R 1 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 2 |
| **SR&R 1 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents** |  |  |
| Documents from Document Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Initial Use Case Diagram | **15** | 14 |
| Initial Context Diagram | **20** | 17 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Initial Product Backlog | **7** | 6 |
| Sprint 1 Backlog | **7** | 6 |
| Sprint 1 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 1 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 1 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 7 |
| **Team Roles & Team Charter** | **6** | 5 |
| **Project Plan** | **10** | 9 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 92 |

#### SR&R 1 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Company Background & Current Environment | **5** | 5 |
| Problem Analysis discussion (BPA, BPI, BPR) | **15** | 15 |
| Proposed System Objectives, and Constraints | **5** | 5 |
| Expected Benefits | **5** | 5 |
| Initial Use Case Diagram | **15** | 14 |
| Initial Context Diagram | **15** | 13 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Initial Product Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 1 Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 1 Burn Down Chart | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 1 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **10** | 10 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 97 |

**Comments:**

DOCUMENTATION

* First, this is a business document and therefore it needs to be all single-spaced, no paragraph spacing before or after—should be set to 0pt, one line space between paragraphs
  + Spacing should be the same under headings
  + There are no page numbers on your document, so the TOC does not help
  + Nice, detailed TOC
  + If you have question please contact me
* See comments about UCD and CD below
* Sprint items
  + System Product Backlog is fine--items 41-44 are not requirements for the system or the report and therefore should not be on the backlog
  + On the Sprint 1 backlog is good, but see comments above about 41-44
  + Status can be listed as OPEN or CLOSED if you like
  + SCRUM meeting log is good, but did you all meet every day and do a stand up? It is for when the team meets and does a stand up
  + Burndown chart is good
  + Sprint 1 Team Evaluation is great—I thought you all did 48 hours according to the burndown chart
* Team roles and Team charter is good—normally it is signed
* The Project plan is good, but there are no dates on it, just activities
* If you need help with anything, please let me know. I am here to help you all be successful

PRESENTATION

* You did a good job on the content you provided
* On the UCD:
  + Arrows should just be lines without arrowheads
  + Lines should go straight to the use case and all start at the same point
  + So, only one actor for the system . . .
  + Remove the vertical rectangle that is around the use cases
* The Context Diagram:
  + Entities should be squared corner rectangles, not rounded
  + You have duplicate dataflows
* Product backlog and sprint 1 backlog are included
* Good PSPI
* Mr. O’Dor gave you all a 2.88/4 = 72%. You can see I gave you a 96%, **Remember**, he grades the SR&R 6 Presentation, I do not. Read his feedback and do his suggestions.